

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,308	11/13/2001	Scott D. Leapman	P1748US00	3163
GATEWAY, I	7590 03/25/200 NC	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Attention: Ker	meth J. Cool	BONSHOCK, DENNIS G		
N. Sioux City,	Orive, MD Y-04 SD 57049	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/014,308		LEAPMAN, SCOTT D.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DENNIS G. BONSHOCK	2173					

	DENNIS G. BONSHOCK	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 W The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apf for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi real (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 76.07	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period va- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was a company. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further or	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belief) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beau appeal; and/or 	tter form for appeal by materially re-		ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		acted claims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. A for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		Il be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7.13.15-18.20-27.31 and 34-37.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarian.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been consideration.		•	
because: With respect to the Applicants' argument that Cheng do respectfully submits that Cheng teaches in column 2, lid trouble shooting display if not present, and further tead lines 56-67). With respect to the Applicants' arguing the corrected the fault before providing a less probable soli	nes 48-53, the MCU detecting if no nes showing a series of steps in cor at Draghetti doesn't teach checking	signals are present an recting the problem (so to see if the more prob	d showing a see column 2, sable solution

information is provided base upon a need, if the most probable solution resolves the problem there will be no need for the less probable solution. Furthermore the combinations as set forth in the 1-3-08 office action are upheld by the Examiner.

12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

/Kieu D Vu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2173

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080317